KRAS and GNAS mutations in cell-free DNA and in circulating epithelial cells in patients with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms — an observational pilot-study regarding the risk of progression to pancreatic cancer

Christine Nitschke<sup>1</sup>

Marie Tölle<sup>2</sup>, Mara Goetz<sup>3</sup>, Jolanthe Kropidlowski<sup>4</sup>, Jakob R. Izbicki<sup>3</sup>, Klaus Pantel<sup>4</sup>, Faik G. Uzunoglu<sup>3</sup> and Harriet Wikman<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of General, Visceral and Thoracic Surgery, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf; Institute of Tumor Biology, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf; Mildred-Scheel Nachwuchszentrum
- <sup>2</sup> Department of General, Visceral and Thoracic Surgery, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf; Institute of Tumor Biology, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf
- <sup>3</sup> Department of General, Visceral and Thoracic Surgery, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf
- <sup>4</sup> Institute of Tumor Biology, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf

## **Background & objectives**

Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMN) belong to the pancreatic cystic benign neoplasms, of which some may progress into an invasive ductal pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PDAC). IPMNs are further divided into high-risk IPMN (main duct IPMNs and other IPMNs meeting high-risk stigmata) and low-risk IPMN (conservatively managed branch duct IPMN). For high-risk IPMNs, malignant progression into PDAC is about 40-92% in lifetime.

Our study evaluates the suitability of *KRAS* and *GNAS* mutated cell-free DNA (cfDNA) and circulating epithelial cells (CECs) as biomarkers for risk stratification in IPMN - by correlating their prevalence in blood samples with the current classification of high-risk and low-risk IPMNs.

## Methods

We collected peripheral EDTA blood samples from patients who underwent surgery to remove high-risk IPMNs (n=19) or patients who are under clinical surveillance for branch duct (low-risk) IPMNs (n=18). All blood samples were processed for cfDNA isolation, before being screened for *KRAS* and *GNAS* mutations via digital-droplet PCR. A size-based cell separation system (Parsortix $^{TM}$ ) was used to identify CECs.

## **Results**

Overall, KRAS mutations were found in 19% and GNAS mutations in 22% of cfDNA. Among the patients with high-risk IPMNs, which qualified for a surgical removal, the detection of KRAS

mutations was 21% and of *GNAS* mutations 37%. Among the patients with low-risk IPMNs, *KRAS* mutations were observed in 17% and *GNAS* mutations in 6%. Especially *GNAS* mutations in cfDNA were significantly more often observed in high-risk compared to low-risk IPMNs (p=0.042). Overall, 47% of high-risk and 17% of low-risk IPMNs showed either *KRAS* or *GNAS* mutations in cfDNA. In our cohort, no CECs could be detected.

## **Conclusion**

In summary, especially the prevalence of *GNAS* mutations is higher in the group of high-risk IPMN patients who underwent surgery — compared to conservatively managed low-risk IPMNs -, which reflects the correlation of the mutations with potential for increased malignant progression. The results of this preliminary pilot-study justify future studies focusing on *GNAS* and on additional liquid biopsy markers.