

## **The winning liquid biopsy combination for prognostic information in patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer**

Zahra Eslami Samarin<sup>1</sup>

Luis Enrique Cortés-Hernández<sup>1</sup>, Léa Sinoquet<sup>2</sup>, Ludovic Gauthier<sup>3</sup>, Valentin Vautrot<sup>4</sup>, Laure Cayrefourcq<sup>5</sup>, Laure Avoscan<sup>6</sup>, William Jacot<sup>2</sup>, Stéphane Pouderoux<sup>2</sup>, Marie Viala<sup>2</sup>, Quentin Dominique Thomas<sup>2</sup>, Pierre-Jean Lamy<sup>7</sup>, Xavier Quantin<sup>2</sup>, Jessica Gobbo<sup>4</sup> and Catherine Alix-Panabières<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Rare Circulating Human Cells – University Medical Center of Montpellier, France; CREEC/CANECEV, MIVEGEC (CREES), Université de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France; European Liquid Biopsy Society (ELBS)

<sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, Institut du Cancer de Montpellier, Montpellier University, Montpellier, France

<sup>3</sup> Biometrics Unit, Institut du Cancer de Montpellier, Montpellier University, Montpellier

<sup>4</sup> INSERM 1231, Label “Ligue National contre le Cancer” and Label d’Excellence LipSTIC, Dijon, France

<sup>5</sup> Laboratory of Rare Circulating Human Cells, University Medical Center of Montpellier, FRANCE; CREEC/CANECEV, MIVEGEC (CREES), Université de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, FRANCE; European Liquid Biopsy Society (ELBS).

<sup>6</sup> Agroécologie, Institut Agro Dijon, CNRS, INRAE, University Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Plateforme DImaCell, F-21000 Dijon, France

<sup>7</sup> Biopathologie et Génétique des Cancers, Institute d’Analyse Médicale Imagenome, Inovie, Montpellier, France

### **Background & objectives**

Circulating tumor cells (CTCs), circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), and extracellular vesicles (EVs) are minimally invasive liquid biopsy biomarkers/analytes that are particularly relevant in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) where tumor assessment is often difficult. The aim of this study was to determine whether these biomarkers, alone and in combination, can predict prognosis in a heterogenous unbiased population of patients with NSCLC, regardless of the tumor type and treatment.

### **Methods**

The clinical relevance of ctDNA and programmed cell death ligand 1-positive (PD-L1<sup>+</sup>) small EVs (sEVs) was evaluated using plasma samples from 54 patients with advanced NSCLC from a prospective longitudinal clinical trial (NCT02866149).

MassARRAY® technology (Agena Bioscience©) was used to identify ctDNA mutations. After sEV isolation by ultracentrifugation, PD-L1 expression was assessed using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. CTCs evaluation was previously performed in the same population using the CellSearch® system (Menarini©).

## Results

At least one ctDNA mutation was detected in 37% of patients: in KRAS (14.8%) and in EGFR (24.1%). Mutations in ctDNA were not correlated with overall survival (OS) (HR = 1.1, 95%CI = 0.55; 1.83, P=0.980) and progression-free survival (PFS) (HR = 1.00, 95%CI = 0.57-1.76, P=0.991). High concentration of PD-L1<sup>+</sup>sEVs was correlated with OS (HR = 1.14, 95%CI = 1.03-1.26, P=0.016), but not with PFS (HR = 1.08, 95%CI = 0.99-1.18, P=0.095). However, the interaction analysis suggested that PD-L1<sup>+</sup>sEV correlation with PFS changed in function of CTC presence/absence (P interaction=0.036). Specifically, high PD-L1<sup>+</sup>sEV concentration was negatively correlated with PFS in patients without CTCs (HR=1.20, 95%CI 1.04-1.38, P=0.011), but not with CTCs (HR=0.98, 95%CI 0.87-1.11, P=0.809). The combination analysis highlighted a worse prognosis in the presence of both CTCs and high PD-L1<sup>+</sup>sEV concentration (HR = 7.65, 95%CI = 3.11-18.83, P<0.001).

## Conclusion

In this small cohort of patients with advanced NSCLC, CTCs, and high PD-L1<sup>+</sup>sEVs concentration correlated with PFS and OS, but not ctDNA mutations. Combining CTC and PD-L1<sup>+</sup>sEV analysis may help to identify patients with worse OS independently to ctDNA analysis.